UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/659,406 | 09/10/2003 | Robert Ryan Vallance | 434-281 | 4637 |
| 1009 KING & SCHI | 7590 03/04/200 CKLL PLLC | EXAMINER | | |
| 247 NORTH B | ROADWAY | | NGUYEN, HOANG M | |
| LEXINGTON, KY 40507 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3748 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/04/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | 10/659,406 | VALLANCE ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Hoang M. Nguyen | 3748 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE! | J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 February 2008</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | nte | | | |

Applicant's amendment dated February 07, 2008, has been fully considered.

Applicant has argued the newly added limitation "a stop displaceable on a linear axis" overcome the pending rejections. The Examiner agrees. However, a new ground of rejection has been made based on newly discovered references from further searches.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 9-11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 7117673 (Szilagyi).

Szilagyi discloses an SMA actuator 100 comprising housing plates 105, 110, a stop 125 being displaceable with the upper plate 105 on a linear axis with respect to the lower housing plate 110, SMA wires 120 connected to said housing plates through said stops 125, a spring 118, and a wire heater.

Regarding claims 10-11, note figure 4 for SMA actuators with plates 480-484 and casing 470.

Art Unit: 3748

Claims 1-5, 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6374608 (Corris et al).

Corris et al discloses an SMA actuator 10 comprising housing sections 12, 14, a stop 40a-c or 42a-c being displaceable with one housing section on a linear axis with respect to the other housing section, SMA wires 16 connected to said housing sections through said stops 40m 42, a spring 52, and a wire heater 48.

Regarding claims 2-5, note the SMA wires 16 are curved, and said stops 40, 42 having slots 44 which can be considered as bearing slots.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-9, 11-31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 6374608 (Corris et al) in view of U.S.6762515 (Gummin et al). Corris et al discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose wire guides and many SMA actuators operated in series or parallel. Gummin et al is relied upon to disclose SMA actuator comprising wire guide for SMA wires 42-43 or 101-104, and many SMA actuators operated together (note figures 2, 12, 15). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide wire guide in Corris et al as taught by Gummin et al for

Art Unit: 3748

the purpose of guiding the wire, and to use many SMA actuators together in Corris as taught by Gummin et al for the purpose of multiplying the output forces. Regarding specific SMA materials, or different wire guiding means such as bearings, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to elect different types of SMA materials or guiding means in Corris et al for the purpose of achieving appropriate work outputs.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hoang M Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748

> HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 3/5/2008